

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Jane Doe LS 90

Plaintiff(s),

v.

Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.

Defendant(s).

Case No. 3:23-cv-03956-AGT

**CONSENT OR DECLINATION
TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
JURISDICTION**

INSTRUCTIONS: Please indicate below by checking **one** of the two boxes whether you (if you are the party) or the party you represent (if you are an attorney in the case) choose(s) to consent or decline to magistrate judge jurisdiction in this matter. Sign this form below your selection.

☐ **CONSENT to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction**

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), I voluntarily **consent** to have a United States magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in this case, including trial and entry of final judgment. I understand that appeal from the judgment shall be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

OR

☒ **DECLINE Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction**

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), I **decline** to have a United States magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in this case and I hereby request that this case be reassigned to a United States district judge.

DATE: August 28, 2023

NAME: William A. Levin

/s/ William A. Levin

Signature

COUNSEL FOR
(OR "PRO SE"): Plaintiff Jane Doe LS 90

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, William A. Levin, hereby certify that the within document was electronically filed on August 28, 2023. I further certify that a true copy of the within was sent by electronic means (ECF) to all counsel of record.

/s/ William A. Levin

William A. Levin